

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

Gen Non-Remimeo
HCO POLICY LETTER OF 16 MAY 1965
Issue II
CORRECTED AND REISSUED 12 OCTOBER 1985
(Corrections in this type style)

*HCO Division 1
Dept of Inspection & Reports (Dept 3)
Ethics Section*

INDICATORS OF ORGS

Just as pcs have indicators so do orgs.

There is a probable long list of good indicators. When these are present Ethics is quiet and hangs on to an interrogation, etc., only long enough to get policy and technology in.

There is a probable long list of BAD indicators. When these are present Ethics becomes industrious in ratio to the number of bad indicators.

The first indicators, good or bad, are statistics—the OIC graphs for units, sections, departments, divisions and the org. When these are rising, the rise is a GOOD INDICATOR.

When these are falling the fall is a BAD INDICATOR.

The second of these indicators, good or bad, is TECHNICAL GAINS. When technology is *in*, cases are gaining. This is a good indicator. When technology is *out*, cases are losing. This is a bad indicator.

Ethics only exists to hold the fort long enough and settle things down enough to get technology in. Ethics is never carried on for its own sake. It is pushed home only until technology is functioning and then technology resolves matters and Ethics prowls off looking for other targets.

We don't hang people because we started to hang them and so must do so. We start to hang people and keep right on tying the noose in a workmanlike fashion right up to the instant we can get tech in—which of course makes the noose unnecessary.

But if tech never does get in then we complete the hanging.

You will find if you *label* a suppressive you will someday get him back and get tech in on him. If you don't ever label they wander off and get lost.

Labeling as a suppressive is our hanging.

When things are bad (bad indicators heavily visible), putting a body on the gallows is very salutary. We call it "putting a head on a pike." Too many BAD indicators and too goofed up a situation and we *must* put a head on a pike. Then things simmer down and we can begin to get tech in.

That's the whole purpose of Ethics—to *Get Tech IN*. And we use enough to do so, to get correct standard tech in and being done.

When there are lots of bad indicators about—low and falling statistics, goofed cases—we get very handy with our interrogatories and put the place very nearly under martial law—we call this a State of Emergency. Once Emergency is declared, you

usually have to put a head or two on a pike to convince people that you mean it. After that necessity level rises and the place straightens up. If an Emergency is *continued* beyond a reasonable time, we resort to very heavy discipline and comm-ev the executives who wouldn't get off it.

Ethics, then, is applied to the *degree* required to produce the result of getting tech in. Once tech is really in on a person (with a case gain) or a tech division, let us say, and auditors actually audit standard processes by the book, we *know* it will resolve and we ease off with ethics.

Ethics, then, is the tool by which you get good indicators in by getting tech in. Ethics is the steamroller which smooths the highway.

Once the road is open we are quite likely to skip remaining investigation and let it all be.

But somebody promising to be good is never good enough. We want statistics. Bettered statistics.

SYMPTOMS OF ORGS

Orgs have various symptoms which tell us how things really are ethic-wise.

One of these is dilettantism.

DILETTANTE-ISM

Dilettante = One who interests himself in an art or science merely as a pastime and without serious study.

In an org, this manifests itself with "people should live a little." "One needs a rest from Scientology." "One should do something else too." All that kind of jazz.

It also manifests itself in nonconsecutive scheduling, part-time students, "because things are different in this town and people can come only two nights. . . ." Ask what they do with other nights. Bowling. Horse racing.

Boy, you better *maul* the case folders of staff. You have a suppressive aboard. Maybe six.

Scientology, that saves lives, is a modern miracle, is being compared to bowling. Get it?

That org or portion just isn't serious. Scientology is an idle club to it, an old lady's sewing circle. And to somebody, selling training and auditing are just con games they put over on the public.

SUPPRESSIVES!

Root them out.

WILD RUMORS—This symptom is caused by potential trouble sources. Find whose case roller-coasters (gets better, gets worse). Investigate. You'll find a suppressive or two outside the org.

Put a head on a pike with an HCO Ethics Order and publish it widely.

ARC BROKEN FIELD—The Johannesburg Comm Ev Order of last week is a perfect method of handling the situation. Appoint a Comm Ev chairman to inquire into matters and form a list of interested parties based on reports he will now receive.

BAD TECH—When results just don't happen in the Academy, HGC or Review,

one or another, look for the potential trouble sources and suppressives. Only they can keep tech out. Put a big head on a pike and then begin to interrogate every *slip* in the place. Suddenly tech is in again.

There are many such symptoms.

AT THE ROOT OF EVERY BAD CONDITION WILL BE FOUND A SUPPRESSIVE PERSON.

Locate your potential trouble sources by locating passers of rumors, etc. Then locate the suppressive and shoot.

Calm reigns. Tech is in.

And that's all one means to accomplish.

Today TECHNOLOGY WORKS ON EVERY CASE. If the local org can't handle a case, Saint Hill can.

If you get tech in well enough in an org, tech handles all. Beautifully. But if it is out, only Ethics can bat down the reasons it can't be gotten in.

OPTIMUM STATE

The optimum state of an org is so high that there is no easy way to describe it. All cases getting cracked, Releases and Clears by the hundreds, command of the environment. Big. That's an optimum state for *any* org.

If it isn't rising *toward* optimum today, it is locally being held down.

The viewpoint of Ethics is there is no adequate reason why an org is stumbling except ethics reasons. Let others take care of any other lacks. Ethics *never* gets reasonable about lack of expansion. If Ethics shoves hard enough others will get a high enough necessity level to act.

So when an org is low

Find out where its statistics are down and who is a PTS or an SP and *ACT*.

That's the job of Ethics. Thus little by little we take off the *brakes* for a cleared Earth.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

Adopted as official
Church policy by
CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY
INTERNATIONAL